



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,090	02/24/2005	Luigi Lancieri	33901-218PUS	6632
7590 12/18/2007 Thomas Langer, Esq. Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane Suite 1210 551 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10176			EXAMINER RADKIEWICZ, JARED	
			ART UNIT 2624	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/18/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/526,090

Applicant(s)

LANCIERI, LUIGI

Examiner

Jared W. Radkiewicz

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 10-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. **Claims 5, 7, and 9** are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any another multiple dependant claim. Claims 5, 7, and 9 are dependant from claim 4, which is in proper multiple dependant form. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits. As claims 6 and 8 depend from claims 5 and 7, respectively, they also have not been further treated on the merits.

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the current drawings are not written in English. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsman outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See *Lowry*, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

4. **Claims 1-4 and 10-12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 1-4 describe an "automatic description method", and claims 10-12 describe a "automatic description device". Both the method and device claims are drawn to an entirely software based system as described in the corresponding specification disclosure. The claimed invention inputs a multimedia object and outputs a description, both of which are merely forms of data. Therefore, the full scope of the system and method claims encompasses nothing more than software; i.e., computer program which is deemed "functional descriptive material". However, the claims do not define a computer-readable medium or memory and are thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and

functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized” – Guidelines Annex IV). Again, given that the scope of the presently claimed system and method encompasses nothing more than software, and in light of the fact that there is no claimed computer readable medium or memory to make the claims a “tangible” product, the claims are rejected under 101 as being functional descriptive material not residing on a computer readable medium. The examiner suggests either reciting some form of tangible computer readable medium, or narrowing the scope of the claims to exclude the recitation of purely software or purely a computer program, which is nothing more than an abstract idea and a Judicial Exception (refer to the Guidelines).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 1-3, and 10-11** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deng et al. (US 2002/0183984 A1) in view of Maier et al. (US 6,125,208).

Regarding **claim 1**, Deng teaches an automatic description method for an unknown multimedia object in which the unknown object is associated with several

types of reference multimedia objects each time depending on a probability of membership to each considered type (G) ("The invention is a system and method for categorizing non-textual subject data on the basis of descriptive class labels", Deng paragraph 7), the method including a step consisting of

measuring at least one physical characteristic on the unknown object (F) and comparing it with measurements of characteristics best representing the reference types ("frequency pattern techniques may be employed", Deng paragraph 55), the method also including the step (H) consisting of

using at least one probabilistic relation for each type giving a probability of membership to the type considered as a function of the result of the comparison of characteristics of the unknown object and the type (Deng calculates probability of membership to several classes in several steps, for instance "In column 100, the second order classes are calculated on the basis of conditional probabilities", Deng paragraph 55).

Deng does not teach using probabilities of membership to the different types thus obtained in combination with a series of affinity relations between types, so as to elect memberships that are majority in probability and are also co-designated by their affinity relation, so as to exclude memberships with a lower affinity with elected types

Maier teaches combining probability of membership with affinity relations to produce a more refined classification (Maier compares the probabilities of character membership to each character type outputted from the multiple recognition units and selects the one type with highest score from each recognizer, here the affinity relation is

binary. This is evidenced by the first paragraph in column 4 of Maier: "One of the writing recognition units 30, 40 recognizes in the character sample of FIG. 2 wither the letter "M" (reliability value: 80%) or the letter "N" (reliability value: 50%). The other of the writing recognition units 30, 40 does not recognize only the letters "M" (reliability value: 60%) and "N" (reliability value: 50%), but also the character "" (reliability value: 30%). Since the preferred embodiment of the invention only processes further the recognized symbol with the highest reliability value, only the letters "M" and the reliability value 60% or 80% are read into the character sample storage part 100.").

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the use of affinity relations as taught by Maier to the classification method of Deng because the method of Maier is general purpose; it is only dependant on generic data such as probabilities and degrees of affinity even though it is applied in an optical character recognizer by Maier; it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to recognize the general applicability of Maier's classification system. Deng performs a similar classification operation with multiple types and probability of membership to each type, making it a trivial step to combine.

Regarding **claim 10**, Deng and Maier also teach a device for performing the method of claim 1 ("classification system", Deng paragraph 28) ("device", Maier col. 1 ln. 4).

Regarding **claims 2 and 11**, Deng and Maier teach claims 1 and 10, respectively.

The Deng and Maier combination as applied to claim 1 also teaches a description method according to claim 1, characterized in that it includes the preliminary step consisting of

defining the reference characteristics of a type starting from a group of multimedia objects presumed to represent this type (B) ("Each training image is identified with at least one class, depending on the content of the image and/or the meta-data associated with the operational conditions of the recording device 12 during the capture of the image.", Deng paragraph 50), by

measuring a physical characteristic on this entire group, and by obtaining one or more reference values for this characteristic ("content-based analysis", Deng paragraph 50),

this (these) reference value(s) will then be used to define the probability of membership relation to the type as a value with which a measurement on an unknown object is compared to deduce the probability of membership to the type (Deng calculates probability of membership to several classes in several steps, for instance "In column 100, the second order classes are calculated on the basis of conditional probabilities", Deng paragraph 55).

Regarding **claim 3**, Deng and Maier teach claim 2.

Deng in combination with Maier as applied to claim 2 does not teach the method according to claim 2, characterized in that the group of multimedia objects is provided in an automatic search step in an information system with an Internet downloader and an Internet search engine.

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the description method of Maier with an internet enabled training method because the Deng and Maier classifier is web enabled ("classification requests from end-users through the Internet", Deng paragraph 33) and can be trained by an "external unit" (Deng Paragraph 50).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jared W. Radkiewicz whose telephone number is (571) 270-1577. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00 EST.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on (571) 272-7415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
10/526,090
Art Unit: 2624

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JWR



VIKKRAM BALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER